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Abstract: Thiophene-based rings are one of the most widely used building blocks for the synthesis of sulfur-containing molecules. Inspired by the redox diversity of these features in nature, we demonstrate herein a redox-divergent construction of dihydrothiophenes, thiophenes, and bromothiophenes from the respective readily available allylic alcohols, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and HBr. The redox-divergent selectivity could be manipulated mainly by controlling the dosage of DMSO and HBr. Mechanistic studies suggest that DMSO simultaneously acts as an oxidant and a sulfur donor. The synthetic potentials of the products as platform molecules were also demonstrated by various derivatizations, including the preparation of bioactive and functional molecules.

Introduction

(Dihydro)thiophenes are one of the most common five-membered heterocycles that are widespread in a large number of natural products, functional materials, and biologically active compounds.[5] For example, duloxetine is a commercial drug that possesses effective antihypertensive activity. Thiolactomycin is a well-known thiolactone antibiotic that exhibits potent inhibitory activity against dissociable FAS enzymes.[2] DuP 697 is an effective inhibitor of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and has anti-inflammatory, anticancer, and antipyretic effects (Scheme 1a).[3] Furthermore, its regioisomers show a similar COX-2 inhibitory profile.[4] In addition, they can also serve as valuable and useful building blocks for the assembly of molecular complexity. Therefore, the construction of thiophene skeletons has attracted much attention over the last decades.[5] Traditionally, sulfide sources such as P2S10,[6] K2S/Na2S,[7] H2S,[8] and S8[9] were usually employed to prepare thiophene compounds through the formation of two new C-S bonds (Scheme 1b). However, the substrates employed in these examples were highly functionalized precursors which resulted in limited scope and functional group compatibility. Moreover, although some classic methods have been developed to prepare substituted thiophenes, the synthesis of dihydrothiophenes is still relatively rare.[10] In nature, important bioactive metabolites with different redox states are created by various redox enzymes. Given this in mind, we wonder if it is possible to develop a strategy of redox-divergent construction of substituted (dihydro)thiophenes from readily available starting materials under concise and metal-free conditions.

DMSO is environmentally friendly aprotic polar solvent, which has been widely used as an oxidant for alcohols,[11] alkyl halides,[12] epoxides,[13] alkenes,[14] and alkynes.[15] Meanwhile, DMSO could also be employed as source of methyl,[16] methine,[17] methylene,[18] methylthio,[19] methylsulfinyl,[20] and oxygen.[21] However, there were rare reports that DMSO could be employed as sulfur donor in organic reactions.[22] Herein, we developed a redox-divergent construction of (dihydro)thiophenes with DMSO as both an oxidant and a sulfur donor. By simply controlling the dosage of HBr and DMSO, allylic alcohols were transformed into valuable...
Results and Discussion

During our initial efforts for the preparation of 2-substituted diene with allyl alcohol 1a as the diene precursor,[23] a small amount of thiophene 2a and dihydrothiophene 4a were serendipitously obtained in the presence of 2.2 equivalents of DMSO and HBr (Table 1, entry 1). Considering the important applications of such skeletons in material and medicinal chemistry, further evaluation for the formation of 2a and 4a was performed. An investigation of solvent revealed that 2a could be delivered in 56% yield with exquisite selectivity in nitromethane (entries 1–4). Notably, the reaction did not work when DMSO was used as the solvent (entry 3). It probably resulted from that the excess DMSO strongly inhibited the reaction of bromine ion generated from DMSO/HBr.[24] The reactivity for thiophene product was further enhanced by raising the reaction temperature to 120 °C (entry 5). Whereas a higher temperature suppressed the reaction (See SI for more optimizations). It was worthy to note that 63% yield of 2a was obtained when 33 wt% HBr solution in acetic acid was used (entry 6). The concentration examination suggested that 0.1 M was optimal for this process (entries 6–8). Other source of hydrogen bromide, such as triethylamine hydrobromide and pyridine hydrobromide, all failed to produce the desired product (entries 9, 10). Inspired by Chen’s report,[25] KBr was added to avoid Kornblum oxidation and the yield of 2a was successfully improved to 72% (entry 11). Interestingly, 3a could be obtained in 63% yield by adding another portion of hydrogen bromide (1.1 equiv) and DMSO (1.1 equiv) after 12-hours reaction (entry 12). To our surprise, the decrease of the loading of HBr and DMSO could switch the product selectivity from thiophene 2a to dihydrothiophene 4a, which is difficult to be obtained via conventional methods (entry 13).

With the optimized conditions established, the substrate scope for thiophenes was first evaluated (Scheme 2). In the presence of 2.2 equivalents DMSO and HBr, various 3-arylthiophenes 2 were obtained in moderate to good yields. For instance, 2-phenylallyl alcohol with weak electron-donating substituents, such as Me, Bu, and Cy at the para position of phenyl ring, all reacted smoothly under the current condition and gave 2b–2e in moderate yields (49–54%). Notably, halides, including fluoro, chloro, bromo, and iodo were well tolerated, delivering corresponding products 2f–2i in 65–74% yields. Substituents at meta and ortho position of the phenyl ring were amenable to the transformation as well (2j, 2k). It is noteworthy that naphthyl-substituted allyl alcohols could deliver the target products 2l, 2m in satisfactory yields as well. Allylic alcohol with polar functional group couldn’t be transformed to thiophene effectively (2n). However, dihydrothiophene 4n was observed as major product (35% yield). With respect to the alkyl substituted allylic thiophenes and dihydrothiophenes in high selectivity and efficiency. Furthermore, through the further transformation of thiophene and dihydrothiophene products, various five-membered sulfur-containing heterocycles could be readily accessed.

Table 1: Optimization of reaction conditions.[a]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2a 3a 4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>8 – 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>dioxane</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>15 – 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>– – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>CHNOs</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>56 – 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HBr</td>
<td>CHNOs</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>60 – 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HBr[b]</td>
<td>CHNOs</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>63 – 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>HBr[b]</td>
<td>CHNOs</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>68 – 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>HBr[b]</td>
<td>CHNOs</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>55 – 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Py-HBr</td>
<td>CHNOs</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>72 – 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Et3-NH-HBr</td>
<td>CHNOs</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>– – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11[c]</td>
<td>HBr[b]</td>
<td>CHNOs</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2 63 – 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12[c]</td>
<td>HBr[b]</td>
<td>CHNOs</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>14 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13[f]</td>
<td>HBr[b]</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>– – –</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Conditions: 1a (0.20 mmol), DMSO (0.44 mmol), HBr (40%, 0.44 mmol), solvent, T [°C], 12 h. [b] Determined by GC-FID with mesitylene as the internal standard. [c] 33 wt% in acetic acid. [d] KBr (0.40 mmol) was used as an additive. [e] After 12 h, additional DMSO (0.22 mmol) and HBr (33%, 0.22 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was then heated at 60 °C for 6 h. [f] DMSO (0.20 mmol), HBr (33%, 0.40 mmol).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 3-arylthiophenes. Conditions: 1 (0.20 mmol), DMSO (0.44 mmol), HBr (33%, 0.44 mmol), KBr (0.40 mmol), CHNOs (2.0 mL), 120 °C, 12 h. Isolated yields are given. [a] Determined by 1H NMR analysis with mesitylene as the internal standard.
alcohol, the desired product was obtained with low yield (2o). Only a trace amount of product 2p was observed when methyl group was introduced onto R1 position. The alkene bears aryl group on terminal site delivered no target product (2q). However, the substitution on the internal site of alkene is tolerated (2r).

Next, we shifted our attention to explore the substrate scope of brominated thiophenes (Scheme 3). The reactions could be carried out with a series of allylic alcohols with different substituents on the aryl ring. It was observed that electron-donating substrates (3b–3e) gave slightly decreased yields in this case. However, substrates bearing halides, such as F, Cl, Br and I, were readily converted to the corresponding 2-bromo substituted thiophenes in 46–66% yields (3f–3j). Strong electron-withdrawing group CF3 was also applicable under the current reaction conditions (3k). Moreover, the naphthyl substituted allylic alcohol was tested in this process, leading to 2-brominated thiophene 3l in 50% yield. Substrate with a polar functional group (cyano) provided brominated thiophene with lower yield (3n). Alkyl substituted allylic alcohol could also be transformed to target product (3o). The introducing of methyl group onto R1 position caused a decrease of yield (3p). The alkene bears aryl group on terminal site didn’t work in this protocol (3q). Interestingly, dibrominated thiophene product was obtained with a methyl group on the internal site of alkene (3r). In general, the substrate tolerance for the 2-bromo-3-aryl thiophenes is consistent with that for 3-aryltiophene (Scheme 2 vs. 3). It is worth noting that other regioisomers of brominated thiophene were not observed. This high regioselectivity of bromination probably resulted from the higher nucleophilicity of C2 position on 3-aryltiophene and low concentration of Br2 that was generated in situ.[24b]

Through decreasing the equivalents of mild oxidant DMSO and HBr, the scope generality for the redox selectivity switch towards dihydrothiophenes was investigated (Scheme 4). The reactions of substrates with electron-donating (4b–d) and -withdrawing substituents (4e–i) at the para position of the benzene ring all proceeded smoothly to give dihydrothiophene products in decent yields. Substituents at the meta position of phenyl ring of allylic alcohol also exerted moderate reactivity (4j). Substrates with naphthyl group, no matter located at the α- or β-position, were both suitable and produced the desirable product in 38% and 41% yield, respectively (4k,4l). In general, the yields of dihydrothiophenes are comparably lower than thiophenes, which can be attributed to the difficulties in suppressing oxidation of dihydrothiophene to corresponding thiophene. The introduc-

Scheme 3. Synthesis of 2-bromo-3-aryltiophenes in one pot. Conditions: 1 (0.20 mmol), DMSO (0.44 mmol), HBr (33%, 0.44 mmol), KBr (0.40 mmol), CH3NO2 (2.0 mL), 120 °C, 12 h, then DMSO (0.22 mmol), HBr (33%, 0.22 mmol), 60 °C, 6 h. Isolated yields are given. [a] DMSO (0.40 mmol) and HBr (33%, 0.40 mmol) were used in the second step. [b] Accompanied by inseparable tiophene product, the yield of the product has been adjusted according to 1H NMR analysis. [c] Accompanied by inseparable monobromination product, the yield of the product has been adjusted according to 1H NMR analysis.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of 2-substituted 2,5-dihydrothiophenes. Conditions: 1 (0.20 mmol), DMSO (0.20 mmol), HBr (33%, 0.40 mmol), DCE (2.0 mL), 120 °C, 12 h, under N2. Isolated yields are given. DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane. [a] DMSO (0.32 mmol), HBr (33%, 0.48 mmol), CH3NO2 (2.0 mL). [b] DMSO (0.44 mmol), HBr (33%, 0.44 mmol), CH3NO2 (2.0 mL). [c] Determined by 1H NMR analysis with mesitylene as the internal standard.
tion of a cyano group on the aryl group of allylic alcohol provided the corresponding product in 35% yield (4n). In addition, alkyl substituted allylic alcohol could afford the target product (4o). Only a small amount of dihydrothiophene was observed through the introducing of methyl group onto R1 position (4p). To our delight, substrate with a methyl group on the internal site of alkene was tolerated (4r). Due to the decrease of DMSO, some amount of unreacted diene was observed after workup. Furthermore, small amount of side product from Diels–Alder cycloaddition of diene was also detected under this protocol.

To unveil the mechanistic details of this established protocol, several control experiments were thereby performed (Scheme 5). When subjecting 2-phenyl-1,3-butadiene (5a) rather than 2-phenylbut-3-en-2-ol (1a) to the standard reaction conditions, 3-phenyl-thiophene (2a) could also be obtained in 72% yield (Scheme 5a). This suggests that diene 5a might be an intermediate for the formation thiophene 2a. According to previous reports[24,26] DMSO could react with HBr to produce dimethyl sulfide (DMS) and Br2. To test such process whether occurred in this protocol or not, the reaction between diene 5a and DMS in the presence of Br2 was conducted (Scheme 5b). The result showed that 69% yield of 2a could be delivered, indicating that DMS and Br2 may be the active intermediates enrolled in the formation of 2a. In addition, it was also found that 3-phenyl-thiophene 2a could be obtained in 72% yield from the oxidation of dihydrothiophene 4a with the aid of DMSO and HBr (Scheme 5c). Notably, 34% yield of trimethylsulfonium bromide 6 was observed as a side-product under the optimal conditions (Scheme 5d). This observation implies MeBr is the other destination for the methyl groups on DMSO.

The kinetic studies were further carried out to interpret the reaction process. As shown in Figure 1, the diene intermediate 5a was quickly formed at the early stage of the reaction and was continuously consumed as the reaction ongoing. In addition, a volcano-shaped correlation was observed between the yield of dihydrothiophene 4a and the reaction time, while a sigmoidal kinetic curve was found for the desired thiophene 2a. These results were in accordance with the above control experiments (Scheme 5a and c) which suggests that diene 5a is a probable intermediate of the reaction and can be converted into dihydrothiophene 4a and furthermore, thiophene 2a.

On the basis of the aforementioned observations and previous reports[24,26,27] a plausible mechanism for this DMSO-based redox-divergent construction of (dihydro)thiophenes was shown in Scheme 6. Initially, an acid and thermal-induced dehydration of allylic alcohol 1a produces the diene product 5a. Meanwhile, the Br2 and DMS are generated from the oxidation of HBr by DMSO. [28] Next, the reaction between diene 5a and Br2 gives bromonium ion A, which then undergoes nucleophilic attack by DMS to afford the sulfonium ion B (Path I). A subsequent nucleophilic substitution of intermediate B with bromide anion releases the MeBr species and generates sulfide C. A further intramolecular nucleophilic substitution yields cyclic sulfonium salt D. An alternative pathway for the formation of intermediate D includes dibromination of alkene (A’) and subsequent inter-/intramolecular nucleophilic substitutions (Path II). A final reaction between intermediate D and bromide anion produces the dihydrothiophene product 4a. In the presence of excess Br2, intermediate E can be yielded through the bromination of 4a. Subsequent elimination of HBr from the E gives thiophene 2a. In the end, further bromination of 2a with Br2 produces 2-bromothiophene 3a in an oxidative fashion.

![Scheme 5. Control experiments.](image)

![Figure 1. Kinetic studies of this synthesis.](image)
The synthetic utility of current protocol was demonstrated by diverse derivatizations of the obtained (dihydro)thiophene products 2a–4a (Scheme 7). As illustrated in Scheme 7a, oxidation of 4a with m-CPBA could readily give sulfolene 7 in 93% yield. In the presence of trifluoroacetic acid and triethylsilane, 2a could be reduced to tetrahydrothiophene 8 in an acceptable yield.[29] A bromination of 2a with Br₂ successfully provided 2,3,5-tribromo-4-phenylthiophene 11 in 97% yield. To our delight, the obtained polybrominated thiophene 11 could be further functionalized through Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling to enable a rapid assembly of tetraphenylthiophene 12 (85% yield). For the transformation of 3a, an acylative reaction with benzoyl chloride generated trisubstituted thiophene 9. On the other hand, the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of 3a with phenylboronate efficiently delivered disubstituted thiophene 10 with excellent yield (99%).

Tetraarylthiophenes with four totally different aryl groups are privileged structures and useful building blocks in material chemistry.[30] However, the synthetic access to such

**Scheme 7.** Divergent and programmable synthetic transformations of (dihydro)thiophenes.
skeletons is challenging and usually requires multiple steps [30-32]. With brominated arylthiophene 3a in hand, we could easily synthesize the triarylthiophene 14 in 46% yield (for two steps) via the continuous Suzuki-type C–H/C–Br arylation under palladium catalysis. After a final Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation with bromoarene, a new tetra-arylthiophene 15 with four different aryl groups could be prepared in high efficiency (3 steps, 27.1% overall yields, Scheme 7b).

With respect to bioactive molecules, DuP 697 (18a) and analogs were difficult to obtain via the traditional methods which need five steps at least. [33] To further demonstrate the practicability of this redox-divergent strategy, bioactive DuP 697 and its regioisomers were programmatically and concisely synthesized from brominated thiophenes 3i and 3f. Firstly, 3i could be easily transformed to 16a through a CuI-catalyzed coupling reaction. [34] Then, DuP 697 (18a) could be obtained in 40% yield through Pd-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling with arylboronic acids, followed by bromination of the diarylthiophene 17a. Under Pd catalysis, [35] 16a was coupled with potassium aryltrifluoroborate to give 18b in 27% yield (two steps from 3i). Another DuP 697 regioisomer 18c could be easily accessed (74% yield) through Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation at the C5 position of brominated thiophenes 3f with aryl iodide. [34] Under the condition for the direct C3–C–H arylation, 18d can be produced between the cross coupling between thiophene 3f and potassium arytrifluoroborate. This one step synthesis represents the shortest synthesis for 18d. Finally, 3f were cross-coupled with arylboronic acids in the presence of Pd(PPh3)4, catalyst to afford 17b in excellent yield, which could be easily brominated with bromine to give the last DuP 697 regioisomer 18e.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have established a redox-divergent strategy for the selective construction of substituted thiophenes or dihydrothiophenes under metal-free conditions. This protocol employed readily available allylic alcohols as starting materials and DMSO as mild oxidant to offer derivatives of (dihydro)thiophenes efficiently. Manipulation of the selectivity could be governed by the dosage of DMSO and HBr. Mechanistic investigations were conducted to interpret the redox selectivity and the roles of DMSO. In addition, synthetic transformations demonstrated that this strategy could realize programmable and concise synthesis of tetraarylthiophenes, bioactive DuP 697 and its regioisomers. Thus, this redox-divergent strategy may serve as a general platform for achieving synthetically and medicinally useful five-membered sulfur-containing heterocycles.
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